Welcome!



California ACEs Learning and Quality Improvement Collaborative (CALQIC) Data Community of Practice November 19, 2020

This virtual session is being recorded and will be posted to careinnovations.org/calcic-portal

Everyone is currently muted. To unmute yourself: Press *7 To mute yourself: Press *6

Please use the chat box to ask questions.

Renaming Instructions



Later, we will be breaking into small groups, classified by your organization.

Please, rename yourself to include your organization name. For example: "Nikki Navarrete (CCI)"



To do this:

- Click the Participants icon.
- Hover over your name & click Rename.
- Add your organization after your name.
- Click OK.

Data Community of Practice: agenda & goals

2:00 – 2:15 Welcome & introductions

2:15 – 2:25 Summary of Q3 clinical data

2:25 – 2:45 Small group breakouts

2:45 – 2:55 Takeaways and next steps from small groups

2:55 - 3:00 Feedback

Goals for our time together:

- 1. To share data back with teams to help interpret data
- 2. To discuss challenges with data reporting
- 3. To share any strategies teams are using to overcome challenges



Welcome & introductions



Getting to know who is on the call

- **Poll 1**: How would you describe your primary role in the CALQIC initiative?
- **Poll 2**: What is your role related to the quarterly data reporting of the CALQIC measures?
- **Poll 3**: How much do you love quantitative/numerical data?
- Poll 4: How easy or difficult was it to report clinical data for Quarter 3?



Please respond to each poll that pops up in the Zoom window.

5

Summary of Q3 clinical data



Most teams provided partial data in Q3

	CALQIC measures		
	Providers	Screening	Response
# of clinics that reported data	31 (63%)	17 (35%)	9 (18%)
# of clinics NOT reporting data	18 (37%)	32 (65%)	40 (82%)

Everyone is **new to reporting data** for the CALQIC initiative!



Many teams are still developing processes around screening and follow up






This is a learning process for everyone, including the CALQIC support team



Measure 1: % providers trained

of Medi-Cal PCPs trained divided by # of Medi-Cal PCPs*




* Some clinics included more than PCPs



Measure 2: % patients screened (pediatrics)

of patients screened divided by # of eligible patients*




* Some clinics did not have systematic screening in place



Measure 3: % patients with 4+ ACEs (pediatrics)


of patients with 4+ ACEs divided by # of patients screened





Measure 4: % patients with response (pediatrics)

of patients with a response divided by # of patients warranting response*




* Based on clinics' own definitions of scores warranting response



Measure 2: % patients screened (adults)

of patients screened divided by # of eligible patients*




* Some clinics did not have systematic screening in place




Measure 3: % patients with 4+ ACEs (adults)

of patients with 4+ ACEs divided by # of patients screened



Measure 4: % patients with response (adults)

of patients with a response divided by # of patients warranting response*



* Based on clinics' own definitions of scores warranting response



Questions these data raise for us

Measure type	Our questions
Provider level data	Types of providers included . Do Q3 data reflect only primary care providers or include other, non-primary care providers?
Screening data	 Identifying the denominator. Do your Q3 data reflect the number of eligible patients you have seen during July 1 – Sept 30 or the total number of eligible patients you have empaneled? Screening status. Was ACEs screening in place at the start of the reporting period (July 1) or did ACEs screening begin partway through the quarter? Segmentation by race and ethnicity. What are the challenges in reporting these data consistently?
Response/referral data	 What are processes/workflows for collecting and reporting these data Challenges to capturing the data



Small group breakouts



Renaming Instructions



We will be breaking into small groups, classified by your organization.

Please, rename yourself to include your organization name. For example: "Nikki Navarrete (CCI)"



To do this:

- Click the Participants icon.
- Hover over your name & click Rename.
- Add your organization after your name.
- Click OK.

Small group discussion questions

- What challenges have you experienced developing systems to collect and report the CALQIC measures?
- How have others in the group handled that?
- Do others have ideas of how to address challenges noted by peers?



Please switch to Gallery view so you can see all participants in your small group.



Takeaways from small groups



Chat box feedback

Instructions

- 1. Take 1 minute to type in the chat your answer to the following prompts
- 2. Do <u>NOT</u> press enter until we tell you...

Please give us feedback about the meeting & your participation

- I like... (things you liked about the meeting/participating in the CoP)
- I wish... (things you'd like to see as part of future meetings/engagement)
- I wonder... (outstanding questions about what happens next)



Evaluation Support The Center for Community Health and Evaluation (CCHE)





Maggie Jones, Director



Lisa Schafer, Senior Evaluation & Learning Consultant



Monika Sanchez, Evaluation & Learning Associate

Creagh Miller, Evaluation & Learning Associate

Center for Community Health and Evaluation | www.cche.org

