Welcome!

California ACEs Learning and Quality Improvement Collaborative (CALQIC)
Data Community of Practice
November 19, 2020

This virtual session is being recorded and will be posted to careinnovations.org/calqic-portal

Everyone is currently muted.
To unmute yourself: Press *7
To mute yourself: Press *6

Please use the chat box to ask questions.
Later, we will be breaking into small groups, classified by your organization.

Please, rename yourself to include your organization name. For example: “Nikki Navarrete (CCI)”

To do this:
• Click the Participants icon.
• Hover over your name & click Rename.
• Add your organization after your name.
• Click OK.
Data Community of Practice: agenda & goals

Goals for our time together:

1. To share data back with teams to help interpret data
2. To discuss challenges with data reporting
3. To share any strategies teams are using to overcome challenges
Welcome & introductions
Getting to know who is on the call

• **Poll 1:** How would you describe your primary role in the CALQIC initiative?

• **Poll 2:** What is your role related to the quarterly data reporting of the CALQIC measures?

• **Poll 3:** How much do you love quantitative/numerical data?

• **Poll 4:** How easy or difficult was it to report clinical data for Quarter 3?

Please respond to each poll that pops up in the Zoom window.
Summary of Q3 clinical data
Most teams provided partial data in Q3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CALQIC measures</th>
<th>Providers</th>
<th>Screening</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of clinics that reported data</td>
<td>31 (63%)</td>
<td>17 (35%)</td>
<td>9 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of clinics NOT reporting data</td>
<td>18 (37%)</td>
<td>32 (65%)</td>
<td>40 (82%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Everyone is new to reporting data for the CALQIC initiative!

Many teams are still developing processes around screening and follow up

We anticipate that some teams will need to revise and resubmit data

This is a learning process for everyone, including the CALQIC support team
Measure 1: % providers trained

# of Medi-Cal PCPs trained divided by # of Medi-Cal PCPs*

* Some clinics included more than PCPs
Measure 2: % patients screened (pediatrics)

# of patients screened divided by # of eligible patients*

* Some clinics did not have systematic screening in place
Measure 3: % patients with 4+ ACEs (pediatrics)

# of patients with 4+ ACEs divided by # of patients screened
Measure 4: % patients with response (pediatrics)

# of patients with a response divided by # of patients warranting response*

* Based on clinics’ own definitions of scores warranting response
Measure 2: % patients screened (adults)

# of patients screened divided by # of eligible patients*

* Some clinics did not have systematic screening in place
Measure 3: % patients with 4+ ACEs (adults)

# of patients with 4+ ACEs divided by # of patients screened

- # of patients screened (median = 47)
- % patients with 4+ ACEs (average = 43%)
Measure 4: % patients with response (adults)

# of patients with a response divided by # of patients warranting response*

* Based on clinics’ own definitions of scores warranting response
# Questions these data raise for us

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure type</th>
<th>Our questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provider level data</td>
<td><strong>Types of providers included.</strong> Do Q3 data reflect only primary care providers or include other, non-primary care providers?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Screening data        | • **Identifying the denominator.** Do your Q3 data reflect the number of eligible patients you have seen during July 1 – Sept 30 or the total number of eligible patients you have empaneled?  
                          • **Screening status.** Was ACEs screening in place at the start of the reporting period (July 1) or did ACEs screening begin partway through the quarter?  
                          • **Segmentation by race and ethnicity.** What are the challenges in reporting these data consistently? |
| Response/referral data| • What are processes/workflows for collecting and reporting these data  
                          • Challenges to capturing the data |
Small group breakouts
Renaming Instructions

We will be breaking into small groups, classified by your organization.

Please, rename yourself to include your organization name. For example: “Nikki Navarrete (CCI)”

To do this:
- Click the Participants icon.
- Hover over your name & click Rename.
- Add your organization after your name.
- Click OK.
Small group discussion questions

• What challenges have you experienced developing systems to collect and report the CALQIC measures?

• How have others in the group handled that?

• Do others have ideas of how to address challenges noted by peers?

Please switch to Gallery view so you can see all participants in your small group.
Takeaways from small groups
Chat box feedback

Instructions

1. Take 1 minute to type in the chat your answer to the following prompts
2. Do NOT press enter until we tell you...

Please give us feedback about the meeting & your participation

• I like... (things you liked about the meeting/participating in the CoP)
• I wish... (things you’d like to see as part of future meetings/engagement)
• I wonder... (outstanding questions about what happens next)
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